

Efficial Paper July 12

TVISUAL TAMENT I COVERAGE DIF THE MISSER

NI REPAINON SEE SEMENT LESM. DELLE MANT

VISUAL-TALENT COVERAGE OF THE USSR IN RELATION TO SOVIET ICBM DEPLOYMENT JANUARY 1959 - JUNE 1960

CIA/RR-EIM-T1-60

TOP SECRET CHESS

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Office of Research and Reports wishes to acknowledge the assistance provided by the CIA Photographic Intelligence Center in publishing this report.

TOP SECRET CHESS

FOREWORD

This report provides an estimate of the portions of the USSR that have been visually or photographically covered by Western intelligeness sources during the period January 1959 to June 1960. The extent of this coverage is compared with the total area of the USSR, with the total area considered suitable for long-range missile deployment, and with the area of those established priority regions that are believed to be most suitable for missile deployment. Similar comparisons based on railroad route mileage are also presented.

VISUAL-TALENT COVERAGE OF THE USSR IN RELATION TO SOVIET ICBM DEPLOYMENT

JANUARY 1959 - JUNE 1960

I. Summary

During the period January 1959 through June 1960, about 7.5 percent of the total land area of the USSR is covered by useable TALENT photography. Since about 45 percent of the terrain of the USSR is unsuitable for long-range ballistic missile deployment (especially for deployment of the first few units), a more meaningful statistic is the coverage of Soviet land area suitable* for such deployment. About 13.6 percent of the suitable area has been covered by useable TALENT photography.

Eight areas* (about 24 percent of the land area of the USSR) have been designated for priority search for deployed long-range ballistic missiles by the intelligence community. About 3.6 percent of the total of these areas is covered by useable TALENT photography.

The intelligence community has concluded that the Soviet ICBM system depends very heavily on railroad transportation; therefore, the portion of the Soviet railroad network covered during this period is probably the most meaningful statistic. Useable TALENT coverage of the total rail route mileage amounts to about 11.5 percent, or about 8.5 percent of such mileage in the priority areas. Over 35 percent of the rail route mileage in priority area 2 and more than 10 percent of priority areas 1 and 3 have been covered with useable TALENT. There has been no useable TALENT coverage of the other priority areas.

In addition to this highly reliable TALENT coverage, certain portions of the USSR have been subject to observation by other Western intelligence sources. Although some 4.5 percent of the total land area of the USSR was observable** to these sources during the period, less than one percent of the area is estimated to have been observed**. The estimated observed coverage of suitable areas by these sources is about 1.5 percent, and such coverage of the priority areas is about 2 percent. Roughly 35 percent of the rail route mileage in the total land area, suitable areas, and priority areas of the USSR was traveled during this period, and it is estimated that useable observations were made along about 7 percent of the rail routes in these areas.

^{*} These areas are presented on the accompanying map.

** Definitions and method of calculation are presented below.

In conclusion, it is estimated that more than 85 percent of the suitable area, 95 percent of the priority areas, and 35 percent of the rail route mileage in priority areas have not been observed or covered by uscable TALENT during the period. In view of the large areas still uncovered and the limited number of ICBMs that are likely to be deployed so early in the Soviet program, it is not surprising that none of these sites has been positively identified.

II. Suitable and Priority Areas

The total area of the USSR suitable for ICBM deployment is estimated to be 4,764,000 sq. miles. The area considered unsuitable for ICBM deployment is 45 percent of the total area of the USSR (8,647,000 sq. miles) and includes areas of continuous permafrost, high mountains, marshes, swamps, open bodies of water, towns and cities. Because of difficulties of construction and logistics, it is unlikely that any of the carlier long-range missiles would be deployed in such areas; these areas might be used only for some of the very last missiles deployed, if at all. The area assumed as unsuitable for long-range missile deployment is shown in the accompanying map.

Within the USSR, eight areas have been recognized by the intelligence community as being of priority interest in the search for long-range missile launching sites. These eight areas are shown on the accompanying map.

The intelligence community has concluded that the Soviet ICBM system depends very heavily on railroad transportation. If the launching facilities are fixed, the railroad network is the primary means of logistic support; or if mobile, these facilities are rail mobile. For this reason, the coverage of the Soviet railroad network, primarily in the priority areas, is probably the most meaningful of the various measures presented in this paper.

III. TALENT Coverage

The total area of the USSR covered by useable TALENT photography since January 1959 has been calculated as 550,000 square miles. In making this calculation, linear photo mileage obtained by the four most recent TALENT missions was multiplied by 55 miles considered to be the width of effective coverage. The resulting figure of gross square mile coverage was then reduced to compensate for varying degrees of cloud cover (heavy clouded areas were assumed to have yielded only 25% coverage and scattered cloud areas 75% coverage).

Table 1 presents data on the portions of the total area, suitable area and priority areas covered by uscable TALENT during the period.

Table 1

Areas of the USCR Covered by Uscable TALENT Photography
January 1959-June 1960

| Area | Total Lend Area | Estimated TALEIT | Coverage |
|---|--------------------|------------------|-----------|
| | (Square Miles) | (Square Hiles) | (Percent) |
| Total USSR | 3, <i>6</i> 17,000 | 650,000 | 7.5 |
| Suitable for Deployment | 1,764,000 | <i>6</i> 50,000 | 13.6 |
| Priority Areas Total Area 1 Area 2 Area 3 Areas 4-3 | 2,081,000 | 75,150 | 3.6 |
| | 467,300 | 10,750 | 2.3 |
| | 315,600 | 60,270 | 19.1 |
| | 170,700 | 4,130 | 2.4 |
| | 1,126,900 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2 presents data on the portions of the rail route mileage covered by useable TALENT photography.

Table 2

Rail Route Mileage of the USSR Covered by Useable TALENT Photography
January 1959-June 1960

| Area | Total Rail Route (Miles) | Estimated TA | ALENT Coverage (Percent) |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Total USSR | 75,900 | 8,750 | 11.5 |
| Suitable for Deployment | 75,400 | 8,750 | 11.6 |
| Priority Areas Total Area 1 Area 2 Area 3 Areas 4-8 | 46,000 6,200 8,300 3,000 20,500 | 3,910 620 2,950 340 0 | 3.5 10.0 35.6 11.4 0 |

IV. Non-TALENT Coverage

A. Observable Area

Large areas of the USSR have been subject to observation by travelers from the West during the period January 1959 to June 1960. The maximum area that could have been observed if ideal conditions prevailed -- that is, if there were absolutely no obstructions or limitations to vision along the routes traveled -- was calculated by multiplying the total route miles traveled by the width of the maximum observation belt. The observable belt for air travel is estimated to be 10 miles and for rail, water, and highway travel to be 5 miles. Table 3 presents data on the maximum observable areas for total USSR land area, suitable area, and priority areas.

Table 3

Maximum Observable Areas in the USSR

January 1959-June 1960

| | Total Area | Maximum Obse | Maximum Observable Area | |
|----------------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--|
| Area | (Sq. Mi.) | (Sq. Mi.) | (Percent) | |
| Total USSR | 8,647,000 | 387,500 | 4.5 | |
| Suitable for Deployment | 4,764,000 | 368,000 | 7.7 | |
| Priority Areas | | | | |
| Total | 2,081,000 | 220,800 | 10.6 | |
| Area l | 467,800 | 12,000 | 2.6 | |
| Area 2 | 315, <i>6</i> 00 | 33,000 | 10.5 | |
| Area 3 | 170,700 | 13,900 | 8.2 | |
| Area 4 | 195,600 | 58,200 | 29.8 | |
| Area 5 | 290,700 | 52,100 | 17.9 | |
| Area 6 | 469,800 | 39,900 | 8.5 | |
| Area 7 | 108,000 | 8,400 | 7.7 | |
| Area 8 | 62,800 | 3,300 | 5.3 | |

B. Estimated Observed Area

The total area actually observed by travelers is estimated to be far less than the maximum observable area based on route miles traveled, for conditions for observations are frequently far from ideal. Limiting factors considered in calculating the actual extent of the area observed are as follows:

- 1. Visibility restrictions, including terrain, vegetation, rain, snow, fog, time of day (light or darkness), and man-made obstacles of various types.
- 2. Limitation of vision to one side of the vehicle (nullified somewhat if the route is frequently traveled; applies least to auto travel).
- 3. Limitation to air observation by altitude, cloud cover, and seat location.
- 4. Speed of travel (particularly by train), which limits the time span for recognition of features, thus reducing the width of the area that can be effectively observed.
- 5. Harassment by security personnel, which is particularly likely at points where sensitive installations might be observed.

In view of the above limitations, the area observed by travelers was calculated by multiplying the maximum observable area by an estimated percentage of effectiveness of observation. The fact that many routes were traveled a number of times is taken into consideration in determining the percentage of effectiveness. The percentages used to estimate the portion of observable area actually observed are as follows:

| Type of Travel | Effective Observation (Percent) |
|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 64 | 35 |
| Air | 15 |
| Rail | 20 |
| Water | 10 |
| Highway | 35 |

The estimated observed coverage for each type of area under consideration is presented in Table 4.

Table 4
Estimated Observed Area in the USSR
January 1959-June 1960

| Area | Square Miles | Percent of Area |
|--|---|--|
| Total USSR | 71,900 | 0.8 |
| Suitable for Deployment | 68,700 | 1.4 |
| Priority Areas Total Area 1 Area 2 Area 3 Area 4 Area 5 Area 6 Area 7 Area 8 | 41,800* 2,300 5,800 2,600 11,900 10,500 6,500 1,500 600 | 2.0 0.5 1.8 1.5 6.1 3.6 1.4 1.4 |

C. Railroad Route Mileage Traveled

Table 5 presents data on the Soviet railroad route mileage traveled by Western observers during the period. The mileage traveled is also reduced for observational difficulties; to arrive at an estimate of useable traveler observations, the factor of 20 percent was used (see paragraph IV B above).

^{*} Numbers have been rounded; total is based on unrounded data.

Table 5

Railroad Route Mileage of the USSR Traveled by Western Observers
Adjusted for Effective Coverage
January 1959-June 1960

| Area | Total Miles | Trav Miles | reled Percent | Useable Percent |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| USSR | . 75, 90 0 | 25,700 | 34 | 7 |
| Suitable for Deployment | 75,400 | 25,100 | 33 | 7 |
| Priority Area Total Area 1 Area 2 Area 3 Areas 4-5 Area 6 Area 7 | \$ 46,000 6,200 8,300 3,000 22,000 4,000 1,250 | 16,940 1,850 2,700 790 8,370 2,020 620 | 37 30 33 26 38 50 | 7 6 7 5 8 10 10 |
| Area 8 | 1,250 | 590 | 47 | 9 |

V. Total Visual-TALENT Coverage

In order to get an appreciation of total useable visual and TALENT coverage of the various areas of the USSR during the period, a range of values is estimated; the lower end of the range reflects the useable TALENT coverage and the upper end includes the useable visual coverage with an allowance for possible duplication. These estimates are presented in Table 6.

Table 6
Useable Visual-TALENT Coverage of the USSR
January 1959-June 1960

| Area | Land Area (Percent) | Rail Route Mileage (Percent) |
|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| USSR | 7- 8 | 12-18 |
| Suitable for | | |
| Deployment | 14-15 | 12-13 |
| Priority Areas | | |
| Total | 4-6 | 9 -1 5 |
| Area l | 2 - 3 | 10-15 |
| Area 2 | 19 -2 1 | 36-42 |
| Area 3 | 2-4 | 11 -1 6 |
| Areas 4-5 | 0-5 | o - 8 |
| Area 6 | 0-i | 0-10 |
| Area 7 | 0-1 | 0-10 |
| Area 8 | 0-1 | 0-9 |

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE DIRECTIVE NO. 2/7

COMMITTEE ON OVERHEAD RECONNAISSANCE (COMOR) (Effective 9 August 1960)

Pursuant to the provisions of NSCID Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 6, and for the purpose of providing a focal point for information on, and for the coordinated development of foreign-intelligence 1 requirements for, over-

nied areas (including foreign-intelligence requirements during research and development phases of such projects and activities) a Committee on Overhead Reconnaissance (COMOR) of the U.S. Intelligence Board is hereby established.

- 1. For the purposes of this directive the term "overhead reconnais-sance" includes all reconnaissance for foreign-intelligence purposes by satellite, or by any vehicle over denied areas, whether by photographic, ELINT, COMINT, INFRARED, RADINT or other means, but does not include reconnaissance and aerial surveillance in direct support of actively combatant forces.
- 2. The Committee shall coordinate the adaptation of priority foreign-intelligence objectives and requirements established by USIB, members of USIB, or other committees of USIB, to the capabilities of existing and potential overhead reconnaissance systems; and shall examine and make recommendations, as appropriate, on such related matters as dissemination and any special security controls required, but shall not undertake to provide operational guidance. (As pertains to COMINT, such special security controls shall not be less restrictive than those prescribed by COMINT regulations.)
- 3. The Committee on Overhead Reconnaissance (COMOR) shall be composed of designated representatives of Intelligence Board departments and agencies. Representatives of other agencies may be invited by the Chairman to participate in selected discussions as agreed by the Committee.
- 4. The Chairman of the Committee shall be designated by the Director of Central Intelligence in consultation with and with the concurrence of the Intelligence Board.

- ALLEN W. DULLES
Director of Central Intelligence

¹ As distinguished from operational "early warning" information and other operational-support intelligence.

^{*} For purposes of this directive "denied areas" include all territory and territorial waters claimed by members of the Sino-Soviet Bloc, as well as such other areas of priority intelligence interest as may be determined by USIB.